

15 November 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 38

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: ROYAL PAVILION AND MUSEUMS -
FUTURE PLAN

AUTHOR: JANITA BAGSHAWE

THE DECISION

RECOMMENDATIONS:

To note the intention of RPM to work with Brighton Dome Festival Ltd (BDFL) on a feasibility study to inform the development of a master plan for the Royal Pavilion Estate.

To note the feasibility study in relation to the Court House and the development work the natural history and archaeology collections

Agree the refocusing of Preston Manor and the trial change to Saturday openings to enable weddings to be trialled at the Manor and request officers to bring a report back to Committee in autumn 2013.

To note the joint working with Brighton Dome Festival Ltd necessitates a formal partnership agreement and delegate authority to the Head of Service to develop and complete the proposed Partnership Agreement with Brighton and Dome Festival Ltd (BDFL) based on the principles as set out in this report.

Note the role of the Royal Pavilion and Museums' Foundation in supporting the business model.

To note the forward plan for programming and work for 2012-15.

To request that officers bring regular updates on the development work outlined in the report to this Committee.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations are made on the basis of a need to secure a sustainable future for the Royal Pavilion and Museums. Museums need to remain relevant to society; they need to continually respond to changes in visiting trends, resident needs and city priorities. If the RPM doesn't respond to these it will not maintain its current national standing and it will put at risk its ability to attract external funding and generate income in turn risking the city's assets.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

EVALUATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTION(S):

The option to do nothing would put the service at risk and lead to its potential being unrealised.

Proper Officer:

Date: 19 November 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

15 November 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 39

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: EVENTS IN PARKS AND OPEN SPACES

AUTHOR: PAULA MURRAY

THE DECISION

That the Committee note the review of highlights of events for 2012 and the attached appendix summarising all the events of 2012.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The city's events calendar is extremely full and extremely successful across the year. Our events programme is a major part of what the city is known and admired for. It is key that the extent of the programme is recognised and noted by committee.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The evaluation of alternative options for locating the Pride event is what this report is recommending.

Proper Officer:

Date: 19 November 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

15 November 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 40

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: FUTURE OF THE MOBILE LIBRARY SERVICE

AUTHOR: SALLY MCMAHON

THE DECISION

That the Committee having considered the options set out in this report agrees to recommend implementation of, operation of the mobile library service with a new vehicle on a reduced timetable of 3 days per week option. This option to involve a reduction from 24 to 11 stops removing 13 of the least used, also moving some stops different days these, recommendations to be made to the Policy and Resources Committee as part of the budget process.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To enable the committee to express its views on the range of options regarding the future of the Mobile Library.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

These are set out in the table in section 3.8 of the report.

Proper Officer:

Date: 19 November 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

15 November 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 41

RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: DRAFT SEAFRONT STRATEGY

AUTHOR: IAN SHURROCK

THE DECISION

(1) That Members approve the vision, themes and character areas of the emerging Seafront Strategy; and

(2) That Members approve a consultation process on the draft Seafront Strategy which will inform a final Strategy to be considered by the Policy & Resources Committee.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Endorsement is being sought from Members on the draft Seafront Strategy to enable a wider consultation exercise to be undertaken which will inform a final Strategy.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Seafront Strategy is currently a draft and therefore a consultation exercise will be undertaken to inform a final Strategy.

Proper Officer:

Date: 19 November 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services
Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.